

Techniques and Procedures



ELBOW DISLOCATIONS IN THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT: A REVIEW OF REDUCTION TECHNIQUES

Michael Gottlieb, MD and Jessen Schiebout, MD

Department of Emergency Medicine, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois

Corresponding Address: Michael Gottlieb, MD, Department of Emergency Medicine, Rush University Medical Center, 1750 West Harrison Street, Suite 108 Kellogg, Chicago, IL 60612

□ **Abstract—Background:** Elbow dislocations are one of the most common large joint dislocations and they require urgent reduction in the emergency department. Posterior dislocations are the most common type, with anterior dislocations occurring in rare cases. **Discussion:** Reduction techniques include traction-countertraction, patient-assisted countertraction, the leverage approach, and the modified Stimson technique. Complications can include nerve injury, vascular injury, and compartment syndrome. **Conclusions:** It is important for emergency physicians to be familiar with several different reduction techniques for elbow dislocations in case the initial reduction attempt is unsuccessful. This article reviews the current evidence for reduction of elbow dislocations and any variations on these approaches. © 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

□ **Keywords—**dislocation; elbow; reduction; relocation

INTRODUCTION

The elbow is one of the most common large joint dislocations in adults and the most common in children, responsible for 10% to 25% of all elbow injuries with a reported incidence ranging from 2.7 to 6.1 per 100,000 people (1–7). This injury affects men more frequently than women, typically occurring on the nondominant

side, and is most common in the 30-year-old age group (8–17). Dislocations occur most frequently from falls, followed by sports, assault, and motor vehicle collisions (7,15–20). Wrestling, football, and gymnastics are the most common sports associated with this injury (7,15–20).

The elbow includes 3 articulations: the ulno-trochlear, the proximal radio-ulnar, and the radio-capitellar joints (19). The articular surfaces are highly congruent and, therefore, inherently stable (10,21–23). Further stability is provided by the static restraints, comprised of the medial collateral ligament (MCL), lateral collateral ligament (LCL), and the joint capsule. Muscles crossing the elbow joint provide additional dynamic stabilization (11–13,24,25).

Typically, elbow dislocations occur when falling on an outstretched arm. As the hand contacts an immobile surface, axial force is directed toward a flexed elbow in supination (20,21,25–29). The first stage of dislocation involves disruption of the LCL (2,24,30–33). Stage 2 involves subluxation of the coronoid over the trochlea (2,24,30–33). The final stage involves damage to the MCL, resulting in full dislocation of the radius and ulna (2,24,30–33).

A dislocation is considered complex when it is associated with a fracture and simple when no fractures are present (4). Between 50% and 80% of adult dislocations are simple, while the majority of pediatric elbow dislocations are complex (12,34–38). Dislocations are also classified by forearm location in relation to the humerus, with

Reprints are not available from the authors.

RECEIVED: 16 November 2017; FINAL SUBMISSION RECEIVED: 4 February 2018;

ACCEPTED: 7 February 2018

90% of dislocations occurring in a posterior or posterolateral direction and only 1% to 2% displacing anteriorly (1,12,33,37–40). Divergent dislocations are rare and occur when the humerus is forced between the radius and ulna (35,41). A complex dislocation with fractures of the coronoid process, radial head, and MCL is referred to as the “terrible triad” of the elbow because of frequent problems with instability, stiffness, and degenerative changes (31,42,43).

When dislocated, the elbow will appear deformed, with the forearm shortened and flexed at 45° degrees, and the olecranon will be prominent (24,25). The mechanism, time of injury, and hand dominance should be noted along with any lacerations. A detailed neurovascular and ipsilateral full extremity examination is warranted, as shoulder and wrist injuries may be found in up to 10% to 15% of cases (10,28,31–33).

DISCUSSION

Reduction Techniques

Before the reduction attempt, the forearm should be placed in a supinated position. This allows the coronoid process to disengage and relaxes the biceps tendon, thereby facilitating the reduction. There are several elbow reduction techniques that have been described in the literature.

The most commonly described technique is traction–countertraction. For this approach, 2 providers are often needed. One provider will apply longitudinal traction on the forearm, while a second provider applies countertraction at the distal humerus (Figure 1) (2,33,44,45). A folded bedsheet may be used to facilitate the countertraction (44). While providing traction with one arm, the other hand may be used to directly manipulate the olecranon to facilitate the reduction (2). When per-

forming this technique, slow and steady pressure should be used to reduce muscle spasm and the risk of injury. Slight elbow flexion or downward flexion on the forearm may be necessary to disengage the coronoid process and facilitate the reduction. It is important to avoid applying pressure directly into the antecubital fossa because the nerves and vessels are more exposed in this area, which can increase the risk of iatrogenic injury. As a result, countertraction is best applied at the middle or distal humerus.

Kumar and Ahmed have suggested that countertraction may be effectively performed by the patient, reducing the risk of muscle spasm or the need for an assistant (46). With this approach, the provider places the supine patient’s arm across the chest toward the opposite side, so that the olecranon points upward (Figure 2). The provider then applies in-line traction on the forearm with one hand while the other hand manipulates the olecranon process. The patient’s chest wall maintains the flexed position of the elbow joint, while traction is performed.

The leverage technique is an alternate, single-provider approach first described by Hankin in 1984 (47). This technique involves using the provider’s forearm as a fulcrum to assist with the reduction in a slow, controlled manner (Figure 3) (44,47). The provider begins by gently supinating the patient’s forearm. Then, the provider interlocks his or her fingers with the patient’s fingers in a clasping grip. Next, the provider places his or her elbow against the distal portion of the patient’s biceps muscle. The provider slowly draws the patient’s wrist into flexion using the provider’s elbow as a fulcrum. If the patient has a longer forearm length, the provider may grasp the patient’s wrist instead of the fingers. During this technique, the provider can use his or her other hand to apply lateral or medial pressure for medial or lateral reductions, respectively. One small



Figure 1. Traction–countertraction technique.



Figure 2. Patient-assisted countertraction technique.



Figure 3. Leverage technique.

study found that this technique was more successful than the traction–countertraction technique among 16 patients (44). No complications were identified with either reduction technique (44).

A fourth technique involves a modification of the Simson technique for shoulder and hip dislocations (48–51). For this approach, the patient should be placed in the prone position with the affected upper arm abducted and the forearm placed over the side of the bed (Figure 4). The provider then applies a slow, downward force along the long axis of the forearm, while manipulating the olecranon process with the provider's opposite hand to achieve reduction. This may be performed with 1 or 2 providers. In the latter case, one provider applies downward traction, while a second provider manipulates the olecranon process. One disadvantage of this technique is if procedural sedation is required; this position may limit the provider's ability to monitor the patient's airway and breathing.

Anterior elbow dislocations are much less common than other types of dislocations and require a modification of the technique used for posterior dislocations. For anterior dislocations, the reduction is performed by flexing the elbow and applying a downward force on the proximal forearm instead of the distal humerus (52).



Figure 4. Modified Stimson technique.

Potential Complications

Many important structures may be affected by elbow dislocation. Associated nerve injury has been noted in 1.4% to 29% of dislocations, most commonly involving the ulnar nerve (2–4,53–56). However, injury to the median and radial nerves has also been reported (2–4,53–56). Most often, the injury is a simple neuropraxia that results from stretching of the nerve, and function returns after the elbow is reduced (3,4,56). It is important to avoid hyperextension during the reduction attempt, because this has been associated with a higher risk of median nerve entrapment (57–59).

Vascular involvement has also been described, most often because of a brachial artery spasm (60–62). Direct injury causing thrombosis or rupture is much less common (60–62). These injuries occur most frequently with open or complex dislocations, reported in <1% of simple dislocations (60–62). Recognition may be difficult because collateral circulation can obscure overt signs of ischemia (61–65). In fact, 10% to 25% of vascular injuries in elbow dislocation retain a radial pulse, so clinicians should remain vigilant and prompt vascular imaging should be obtained if this injury is suspected (61–65).

Compartment syndrome can occur in rare cases and is more common in higher-energy injuries, divergent dislocations, or in association with vascular injuries (10,28,33,65). Fractures in adults typically involve the coronoid, radius, or humeral epicondyles, while the medial condyle is the most common fracture in children (2,4,66).

Long-term sequelae include heterotopic ossification, arthritis, chronic instability, and joint stiffness. The incidence of heterotopic ossification ranges from 3% in simple dislocations to 80% in high-energy polytrauma, but typically does not cause significant symptoms (67–72). Mild chronic instability occurs in $\leq 50\%$ of cases, but symptomatic instability is rare (4,29,73,74). Osteoarthritis occurs in $\leq 80\%$ of patients, most commonly in complex dislocations (10,21,37). The likelihood of long-term joint stiffness correlates with the degree of damage and is more common than joint laxity with an average loss of 10° to 15° of extension (4,15,71,73,75).

Long-term outcomes for elbow dislocations are often reported as good-to-excellent, for both children and adults, when using various scoring systems (2,15,74,76). However, 56% to 81% of patients report joint stiffness, 63% report chronic pain, and 8% report elbow instability, while only 19% report no symptoms (2,15,37,74). Ultimately, 2.3% of these cases will require further surgery for chronic instability (8,19,77).

Immobilization and Follow-Up

Upon successful closed reduction, one must repeat a neurovascular examination and obtain radiographs to confirm joint congruency. One should also perform varus and valgus stress testing and assess the joint through a full range of motion with the forearm in the neutral position. For valgus stress testing, the affected elbow should begin in 20° of flexion, with the humerus externally rotated, and the forearm in the neutral position. The provider should palpate the medial joint line. Then, the provider should apply a valgus force (i.e., forearm directed laterally) to the elbow. The varus stress test is performed similarly, but with a medially directed force to the forearm. For both examinations, excessive laxity compared with the contralateral side is considered a positive test.

If gross instability is noted, repeat testing should be performed with the forearm in pronation. Valgus instability suggests MCL injury and may benefit from immobilization with the forearm in supination, while varus instability suggests LCL injury and may benefit from immobilization with the forearm pronated. Elbows with instability at 30° to 45° of flexion, open or complex dislocations, neurovascular injuries, or incomplete reductions require orthopedic consultation for operative repair (11–16,76,78–82). Lateral plain films may show widening of the ulno-humeral space. When the ulno-humeral space is >4 mm wide, this signifies an increased chance for instability and has been termed “the drop sign” (19,83–86). Occasionally, ligaments or bony fragments may prevent reduction and patients will require operative repair (81,87,88). Simple dislocations

that remain reduced with minimal or no instability are treated conservatively (33,73–75,89,90).

Traditionally, the arm would be placed in a plaster splint with the elbow at 90° and the forearm in a neutral position for several weeks. Immobilization is important to treat ligamentous damage, reduce pain, and prevent redislocation. However, prolonged splinting may lead to joint fibrosis, stiffness, and disability (32,66–68,90–95).

As a result, recent studies have evaluated early mobilization for elbow dislocations (93–97). Many versions of early or immediate motion therapy, made possible by the inherent stability of the elbow, have been proposed after closed reduction. If the elbow remains completely stable, some authors have suggested that the arm may be placed in a sling for comfort, and the patient can start immediate range of motion exercises (8,24,93–97). However, others have proposed that all simple elbow dislocations are unstable in the acute setting because the LCL and MCL complexes have been disrupted and, therefore, recommend full splinting (78).

The decision to initiate early mobilization should be made in conjunction with an orthopedic surgeon because joint instability can be subtle (66). Most cases will be splinted at 90° and advised to follow up with an orthopedic surgeon within 72 h (66–68,74,89–95). Splinting for extended periods of time results in increased or prolonged disability, therefore close follow-up is essential (31–34,74,89–93).

CONCLUSIONS

Elbow dislocations are a common upper extremity dislocation that require urgent reduction in the emergency department. While all the above reduction strategies have been used for elbow dislocations, none has been demonstrated to have superior efficacy when compared with the others. Consequently, determining which technique should be performed first depends upon the patient and provider preference. If the first attempt is unsuccessful, the provider should attempt a different technique on the subsequent reduction attempt. Therefore, it is essential that emergency physicians be familiar with several reduction techniques to ensure the best likelihood of successful reduction.

Acknowledgments—The authors would like to thank Dallas Holaday, DO, for her assistance with the accompanying figures.

REFERENCES

1. Josefsson PO, Nilsson BE. Incidence of elbow dislocation. *Acta Orthop Scand* 1986;57:537–8.

2. Kuhn MA, Ross G. Acute elbow dislocations. *Orthop Clin N Am* 2008;39:155–61.
3. Carter SJ, Germann CA, Dacus AA, et al. Orthopedic pitfalls in the ED: neurovascular injury associated with posterior elbow dislocations. *Am J Emerg Med* 2010;28:960–5.
4. Cohen MS, Hastings H. Acute elbow dislocation: evaluation and management. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 1998;6:15–23.
5. Atkinson CT, Pappas ND, Lee DH. Irreducible posterolateral elbow dislocation. *Am J Orthop* 2014;43:34–6.
6. Ayel JE, Bonneville N, Lafosse JM, et al. Acute elbow dislocation with arterial rupture. Analysis of nine cases. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res* 2009;95:343–51.
7. Dizdarevic I, Low S, Currie DW, et al. Epidemiology of elbow dislocations in high school athletes. *Am J Sports Med* 2016;44:202–8.
8. Mayne IP, Wasserstein D, Modi CS, et al. The epidemiology of closed reduction for simple elbow dislocations and the incidence of early subsequent open reduction. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2015;24:83–90.
9. Blackard D, Sampson J. Management of an uncomplicated posterior elbow dislocation. *J Athl Train* 1997;32:63–7.
10. Ahmed I, Mistry J. The management of acute and chronic elbow instability. *Orthop Clin North Am* 2015;46:271–80.
11. De Haan J, Schep NW, Tuinebreijer WE. Simple elbow dislocations: a systematic review of the literature. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 2010;130:241–9.
12. De Haan J, Schep NW, Zengerink I, et al. Dislocation of the elbow: a retrospective multicentre study of 86 patients. *Open Orthop J* 2010;4:76–9.
13. De Haan J, Schep NW, Eygendaal D, et al. Stability of the elbow joint: relevant anatomy and clinical implications of in vitro biomechanical studies. *Open Orthop J* 2011;5:168–76.
14. De Haan J, Schep NW, Tuinebreijer W, et al. Complex and unstable simple elbow dislocations: a review and quantitative analysis of individual patient data. *Open Orthop J* 2010;4:80–6.
15. Anakwe RE, Middleton SD, Jenkins PJ, et al. Patient-reported outcomes after simple dislocation of the elbow. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2011;93:1220–6.
16. Mehta JA, Bain GI. Elbow dislocations in adults and children. *Clin Sports Med* 2004;23:609–27.
17. Stonebeck JW, Owens BD, Sykes J, et al. Incidence of elbow dislocations in the United States population. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2012;94:240–5.
18. Bhavsar NM, Patel JG, Patel PR, et al. Results of posterior dislocation of elbow associated with bony and soft tissue injury. *Malays Orthop J* 2013;7:13–8.
19. Morris MS, Ozer K. Elbow dislocations in contact sports. *Hand Clin* 2017;33:63–72.
20. Schreiber JJ, Warren RF, Hotchkiss RN, Daluiski A. An online video investigation into the mechanism of elbow dislocation. *J Hand Surg Am* 2013;38:488–94.
21. Jennings JD, Hahn A, Rehman S, Haydel C. Management of adult elbow fracture dislocations. *Orthop Clin North Am* 2016;47:97–113.
22. King GJ, Morrey BF, An KN. Stabilizers of the elbow. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 1993;2:165–74.
23. Dodds SD, Fishler T. Terrible triad of the elbow. *Orthop Clin North Am* 2013;44:47–58.
24. Pacelli LL, Guzman M, Botte MJ. Elbow instability: the orthopedic approach. *Semin Musculoskelet Radiol* 2005;9:56–66.
25. Robinson PM, Griffiths E, Watts AC. Simple elbow dislocation. *Shoulder Elbow* 2017;9:195–204.
26. Kumar JB, Sampath D, Reddy HN, Motukuru V. Complete brachial artery transection following closed posterior elbow dislocation: a rare case report. *J Orthop Case Rep* 2015;5:27–9.
27. Ebrahimzadeh MH, Amadzadeh-Chabock H, Ring D. Traumatic elbow instability. *J Hand Surg Am* 2010;35:1220–5.
28. Jungbluth P, Hakimi M, Linhart W, Windolf J. Current concepts: simple and complex elbow dislocations - acute and definitive treatment. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg* 2008;34:120–30.
29. Armstrong A. Simple elbow dislocation. *Hand Clin* 2015;31:521–31.
30. O'Driscoll SW, Bell DF, Morrey BF. Posterolateral rotatory instability of the elbow. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1991;73:440–6.
31. McCabe MP, Savoie FH. Simple elbow dislocations: evaluation, management, and outcomes. *Phys Sportsmed* 2012;40:62–71.
32. Hackl M, Beyer F, Wegmann K, et al. The treatment of simple elbow dislocation in adults. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 2015;112:311–9.
33. Hobgood ER, Khan SO, Field LD. Acute dislocations of the adult elbow. *Hand Clin* 2008;24:1–7.
34. Coulibaly NF, Moustapha NM, Djoumoi HH, et al. Management of recent elbow dislocations: functional treatment versus immobilization; a prospective study about 60 cases. *Open Orthop J* 2017;11:452–9.
35. Eylon S, Lamdan R, Simanovsky N. Divergent elbow dislocation in the very young child: easily treated if correctly diagnosed. *J Orthop Trauma* 2011;25:e1–4.
36. Isaac SM, Danial I, Modi A. Isolated posterior elbow dislocation in a one-year-old child: a case report. *Acta Orthop Belg* 2009;75:133–5.
37. Borris LC, Lassen MR, Christensen CS. Elbow dislocation in children and adults: a long-term follow-up of conservatively treated patients. *Acta Orthop Scand* 1987;58:649–51.
38. Lieber J, Zundel SM, Luthle T, et al. Acute traumatic posterior elbow dislocation in children. *J Pediatr Orthop B* 2012;21:474–81.
39. Panteli M, Pountos I, Kanakaris NK, et al. Cost analysis and outcomes of simple elbow dislocations. *World J Orthop* 2015;6:513–20.
40. Sormaala MJ, Sormaala A, Maattila VM, Koskinen SK. MDCT findings after elbow dislocation: a retrospective study of 140 patients. *Skeletal Radiol* 2014;43:507–12.
41. George HL, Unnikrishnan PN, Bass A, et al. Transverse divergent dislocation of elbow in a child. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2011;27:411–3.
42. Pierrart J, Begue T, Mansat P. Terrible triad of the elbow: treatment protocol and outcome in a series of eighteen cases. *Injury* 2015;46(suppl 1):S8–12.
43. Mazhur NF, Jafari D, Mirzaei A. Evaluation of functional outcome after nonsurgical management of terrible triad injuries of the elbow. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2017;26:1342–7.
44. Skelley NW, Chamberlain A. A novel reduction technique for elbow dislocations. *Orthopedics* 2015;38:42–4.
45. Goldflam K. Evaluation and treatment of the elbow and forearm injuries in the emergency department. *Emerg Med Clin North Am* 2015;33:409–21.
46. Kumar A, Ahmed M. Closed reduction of posterior dislocation of the elbow: a simple technique. *J Orthop Trauma* 1999;13:58–9.
47. Hankin FM. Posterior dislocation of the elbow. A simplified method of closed reduction. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1984;190:254–6.
48. Parvin RW. Closed reduction of common shoulder and elbow dislocations without anesthesia. *AMA Arch Surg* 1957;75:972–5.
49. Meyn MA Jr, Quigley TB. Reduction of posterior dislocation of the elbow by traction on the dangling arm. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1974;103:106–8.
50. Minford EJ, Beattie TF. Hanging arm method for reduction of dislocated elbow. *J Emerg Med* 1993;11:161–2.
51. Khan SK, Chopra R, Chakravarty D. Successful closed manipulation of a pure lateral traumatic dislocation of the elbow joint using a modified Stimson's technique: a case report. *J Med Case Rep* 2008;2:170.
52. Kailash S, Shanmuganathan S. Anterior dislocation of elbow with neurovascular injury: a rare case report. *J Orthop Case Rep* 2017;7:91–4.
53. Galbraith KA, McCullough CJ. Acute nerve injury as a complication of closed fractures of dislocations of the elbow. *Injury* 1979;11:159–64.
54. Limb D, Hodkinson SL, Brown RF. Median nerve palsy after posterolateral elbow dislocation. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1994;76(6):987–8.
55. Cheung EV, Sarkissian EJ. Complications of elbow trauma. *Hand Clin* 2015;31:683–91.
56. Adams JE, Steinmann SP. Nerve injuries about the elbow. *J Hand Surg Am* 2006;31:303–13.

57. Linscheid RL, Wheeler DK. Elbow dislocations. *JAMA* 1965;194: 1171–6.
58. Boe S, Holst-Nielsen F. Intra-articular entrapment of the median nerve after dislocation of the elbow. *J Hand Surg Br* 1987;12: 356–8.
59. Rofes Capo S, Valer Tito A, Gonzalez Cuesta FJ, et al. Median nerve paralysis following elbow fractures and dislocations. Apropos of a series of 12 cases. *Ann Chir* 1984;38:270–3.
60. Friedmann E. Simple rupture of the brachial artery, sustained in elbow dislocation. *JAMA* 1961;177:208–9.
61. Garrigues GE, Patel MB, Colletti TP, et al. Thrombosis of the brachial artery after closed dislocation of the elbow. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2009;91:1097–9.
62. Marcheix B, Chaufour X, Ayel J, et al. Transection of the brachial artery after closed posterior elbow dislocation. *J Vasc Surg* 2005; 42:1230–2.
63. Vickash K, Amer A, Naeem A, Falak S. Brachial artery injury in a child following closed elbow dislocation: a case report of a rare injury. *Malays Orthop J* 2016;10:36–8.
64. Endean ED, Veldenz HC, Schwarcz TH, Hyde GL. Recognition of arterial injury in elbow dislocation. *J Vasc Surg* 1992;16:402–6.
65. Harnarayan P, Cawich SO, Harnanan D, et al. Brachial artery injury accompanying closed elbow dislocations. *Int J Surg Case Rep* 2015; 8C:100–2.
66. Sofu H, Gursu S, Camurcu Y, et al. Pure elbow dislocation in the pediatric age group. *Int Orthop* 2016;40:541–5.
67. Iordens GI, Van Lieshout EM, Schep NW, et al. Early mobilisation versus plaster immobilization of simple elbow dislocations: results of the FuncSiE multicentre randomized clinical trial. *Br J Sports Med* 2017;51:531–8.
68. Iordens GI, Den Hartog D, Van Lieshout EM, et al. Good functional recovery of complex elbow dislocations treated with hinged external fixation: a multicenter prospective study. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2015;473:1451–61.
69. Abrams GD, Bellino MJ, Cheung EV. Risk factors for development of heterotypic ossification of the elbow after fracture fixation. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2012;21:1550–4.
70. Bauer AS, Lawson BK, Bliss RL, Dyer GS. Risk factors for post-traumatic heterotopic ossification of the elbow: case-control study. *J Hand Surg Am* 2012;37:1422–9.
71. Gennaro GL, Spina M, Fosco M, et al. Dislocations of the elbow in children: long-term follow-up. *Musculoskelet Surg* 2013;97(suppl 1):3–7.
72. Hong CC, Nashi N, Hey HW, et al. Clinically relevant heterotypic ossification after elbow fracture surgery: a risk factors study. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res* 2015;101:209–13.
73. Josefsson PO, Johnell O, Gentz CF. Long-term sequelae of simple dislocation of the elbow. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1984;66:927–30.
74. Kesmezacar H, Sarikaya IA. The results of conservatively treated simple elbow dislocations. *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc* 2010;44: 199–205.
75. Josefsson PO, Gentz CF, Johnell O, Wendeberg B. Dislocations of the elbow and intraarticular fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1989;246:126–30.
76. Murphy RF, Vuillermier C, Naqvi M, et al. Early outcomes of pediatric elbow dislocation - risk factors associated with morbidity. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2017;37:440–6.
77. Modi CS, Wasserstein D, Mayne IP, et al. The frequency and risk factors for subsequent surgery after simple elbow dislocation. *Injury* 2015;46:1156–60.
78. Josefsson PO, Johnell O, Wendeberg B. Ligamentous injuries in dislocations of the elbow joint. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1987;221:221–5.
79. Josefsson PO, Gentz CF, Johnell O, et al. Surgical versus nonsurgical treatment of ligamentous injuries following dislocations of the elbow joint. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1987;214:165–9.
80. Subasi M, Isik M, Bulut M, et al. Clinical and functional outcomes and treatment options for pediatric elbow dislocations: experiences of three trauma centres. *Injury* 2015;46(suppl 2):S14–8.
81. Kim SJ, Ji JH. Irreducible posteromedial elbow dislocation: a case report. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2007;16:e1–5.
82. Heo YM, Yi JW, Lee JB, et al. Unstable simple elbow dislocation treated with the repair of lateral collateral ligament complex. *Clin Orthop Surg* 2015;7:241–7.
83. Schnetzke M, Aytac S, Studier-Fischer S, et al. Initial joint stability affects the outcome after conservative treatment of simple elbow dislocations: a retrospective study. *J Orthop Surg Res* 2015;10:128.
84. Schnetzke M, Aytac S, Keil H, et al. Unstable simple elbow dislocations: medium-term results after non-surgical and surgical treatment. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2017;25:2271–9.
85. Pipicelli JG, Chinchalkar SJ, Grewal R, King GJ. Therapeutic implications of the radiographic “drop sign” following elbow dislocation. *Hand Ther* 2012;25:346–53.
86. Coonrad RW, Roush TF, Major NM, Basamania CJ. The drop sign, a radiographic warning sign of elbow instability. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2005;14:312–7.
87. Fenlon C, Zafar MM, Sheridan GA, Kearns S. Irreducible posterolateral elbow dislocation: a rare injury. *BMJ Case Rep* 2016;2016.
88. Gyawali GP, Pokharel B, Pokharel RK. Irreducible anterior dislocation of the elbow without associated fracture. *JNMA J Nepal Med Assoc* 2013;52:398–401.
89. Van Riet RP. Assessment and decision making in the unstable elbow: management of simple elbow dislocations. *Shoulder Elbow* 2017;9:136–43.
90. Hopf JC, Berger V, Kriegelstein CF, et al. Treatment of unstable elbow dislocations with hinged elbow fixation - subjective and objective results. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2015;24:250–7.
91. Akeson WH, Amiel D, Abel MF, et al. Effects of immobilization on joints. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1987;219:28–37.
92. Charalambous CP, Morrey BF. Posttraumatic elbow stiffness. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2012;94:1428–37.
93. Maripuri SN, Debnath UK, Rao P, Mohanty K. Simple elbow dislocation among adults: a comparative study of two different methods of treatment. *Injury* 2007;38:1254–8.
94. Ross G, McDevitt ER, Chronister R, Ove PN. Treatment of simple elbow dislocation using an immediate motion protocol. *Am J Sports Med* 1999;27:308–11.
95. Schreiber JJ, Paul S, Hotchkiss RN, Daluiski A. Conservative management of elbow dislocations with an overhead motion protocol. *J Hand Surg Am* 2015;40:515–9.
96. Habernek H, Ortner F. The influence of anatomic factors in elbow joint dislocation. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1992;274:226–30.
97. Schippinger G, Seibert FJ, Steinbock J, Kucharzyk M. Management of simple elbow dislocations. Does the period of immobilization affect the eventual results? *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 1999;384: 294–7.